

# Compositional Models of Vector-based Semantics, ESSLLI 2022

Background reading for this exercise is Moortgat & Wijnholds (2017) url which gives a vector-based semantics for Dutch relative clauses. The exercises below deal with relative clauses in English.

## 1 Syntax

We return to an example from an earlier exercise

games that kids love but parents hate

for which you arrived at the lexicon below, where  $X$  and  $X'$  are  $s/np$  with appropriate  $\diamond, \square$  decoration.

games ::  $n$   
kids, parents ::  $np$   
love, hate ::  $(np \setminus s)/np$   
that ::  $(n \setminus n)/(s/\diamond \square np)$   
but ::  $(X \setminus X'/X)$

To show that *games that kids love* (or *games that parents hate*) is a well-formed phrase of type  $n$ , you can make a derivation for

$$n_0 \cdot ((n_1 \setminus n_2)/(s_3/\diamond \square np_4) \cdot (np_5 \cdot (np_6 \setminus s_7)/np_8)) \vdash n_9$$

using the categorical presentation of  $\mathbf{NL}_{\diamond, \square, /, \setminus}$  (Appendix A.1 of the Part 1 exercises set). Work with the Residuation+Monotonicity rules, plus the rule version of controlled semi-associativity  $P1$ . Atomic formula occurrences have been given a unique identifier that will be useful for the exercises that follow.

## 2 Interpretation

For the interpretation homomorphism, we set  $\lceil s \rceil = \mathbf{S}$  and  $\lceil n \rceil = \lceil np \rceil = \mathbf{N}$ , in other words, common nouns and full noun phrases are mapped to the same vector space. We furthermore assume that  $\dim(\mathbf{S}) = \dim(\mathbf{N}) = 3$  and that coefficients take values in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Syntactic phrases of type  $s, np, n$  then are all sent to interpretations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , but we allow for the  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  spaces to have different bases.

For concreteness, let's take the following interpretation for lexical items with type  $np$  or  $n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{games} &= \begin{pmatrix} -11 & 13 & -17 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{kids} &= \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -2 & 7 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{parents} &= \begin{pmatrix} -6 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

### 2.1

The interpretation of a transitive verb with type  $(np \setminus s)/np$  is a  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  tensor in the rank-3 tensor space  $\mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{N}$ . This tensor represents a multilinear (here: bilinear) map  $f : \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$  sending two vectors in  $\mathbf{N}$  (subject, object) to a vector in  $\mathbf{S}$ .

To give a concrete toy interpretation for the transitive verbs **love** and **hate**, we use the *cross product* of the subject and object vectors. The cross product of vectors  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  (notation:  $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$ ) is a vector perpendicular to the given vectors, with a direction given by the right-hand corkscrew rule and magnitude equal to the area of the parallelogram spanned by vectors  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ .

The Levi-Civita function  $\epsilon$  below gives the elements of a  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  tensor  $T$  which computes the cross product of vectors  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  via the tensor contraction  $\mathbf{a}_i T_{ijk} \mathbf{b}_k$ . Even permutations of 123 are the cyclic permutations: 123, 231, 312; uneven permutations are the anti-clockwise 321, 213, 132.

$$\epsilon_{ijk} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \text{ or } j = k \text{ or } k = i \\ 1 & \text{if } ijk \text{ is an even permutation of } 123 \\ -1 & \text{if } ijk \text{ is an uneven permutation of } 123 \end{cases}$$

We can now extend our lexicon with interpretations for **love** and **hate**.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{love}_{ijk} &= \epsilon_{ijk} \\ \mathbf{hate}_{ijk} &= -\epsilon_{ijk}\end{aligned}$$

**Your turn** Write out the  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  arrays for **love** and **hate**. In other words, fill the 27 cells of these tensors with the values prescribed by  $\pm\epsilon_{ijk}$ . Index  $i$  is for the layers of the cube,  $j$  for rows,  $k$  for columns.

## 2.2

Consider now the example **games that kids love**. Determine, on the basis of the axiom linking for your derivation of §1, which indices have to be indentified. You can do this with a relabeling, using the Einstein summation convention, or by giving the (generalized) Kronecker  $\delta$  function.

$$\mathbf{games}_i \otimes \mathbf{that}_{jklm} \otimes \mathbf{kids}_n \otimes \mathbf{love}_{opq}$$

## 2.3

Compute the semantic value for **kids love**, in other words the interpretation for the following subproof of the sequent derivation in §1:

$$np \cdot (np \setminus s) / np \Rightarrow s / \diamond \square np$$

**Hint** The goal formula  $s / \diamond \square np$  finds its interpretation in the tensor space  $S \otimes N$ . This is a  $\dim(S) \times \dim(N) = 3 \times 3$  matrix with elements

$$z_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(N)} x_i y_{ijk}$$

computed by means of tensor contraction of the subject vector  $\mathbf{x} \in N$  with the first component of the cube  $\mathbf{y} \in N \otimes S \otimes N$  for the transitive verb.

## 2.4

The next step is the interpretation of the relative pronoun **that**. As discussed in class, we want to see the combination of a noun, e.g. **games**, and a relative clause, e.g. **that kids love**, as the elementwise multiplication of a vector **games**  $\in N$  and a vector **w**  $\in N$  extracted from the interpretation of **kids love**,

We obtain the desired semantic effect in two steps:

1. The interpretation of **kids love**, as computed in §2.3, is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $M \in S \otimes N$ . We can reduce  $M$  to a vector **w**  $\in N$  by multiplying on the left with **1**:

$$(1 \ 1 \ 1) \cdot M = \mathbf{w}$$

Compute **w** with the value for  $M$  from your solution for §2.3.

2. For the second step, we need a bilinear map  $f : N \times N \rightarrow N$  sending the vectors **games** and **w** from (1.) to their elementwise multiplication **games**  $\odot$  **w**.

The desired map can take the form of a tensor **c**  $\in N \otimes N \otimes N$  with elements  $c_{ijk} = 1$  if  $i = j = k$  and zero otherwise. Tensor contraction of **c** with vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in N$  then effectively computes the vector  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} \odot \mathbf{y} \in N$  with elements

$$z_j = \sum_{i,k=1}^{\dim(\mathbf{N})} x_i c_{ijk} y_k \quad (1 \leq j \leq 3)$$

Substitute for  $\mathbf{x}$  the semantic value for **games** given above and for  $\mathbf{y}$  the vector  $\mathbf{w}$  you found for (1.) and calculate the result.

## 2.5

Consider now the example we started with

games that kids love but parents hate

To interpret this example, we need to update our lexicon with a semantic value for the conjunction **but**. Below we repeat its syntactic type (where  $X, X'$  are your modally decorated versions of  $s/np$ ) and the corresponding tensor space.

syntactic type	semantic type
<b>but</b> $(X \setminus X') / X$	$(\mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{N}) \otimes (\mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{N}) \otimes (\mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{N})$

As with §2.4 we want to capture the ‘intersective’ semantics of conjunction in terms of elementwise multiplication. In §2.4 this was elementwise multiplication of simple vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{N}$ , computed by means of a  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  tensor  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{N}$  with elements

$$c_{ijk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For **but** we are looking for a tensor

$$\bar{\mathbf{c}} \in (\mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{N}) \otimes (\mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{N}) \otimes (\mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{N})$$

for the conjunction of matrices  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{N}$  interpreting **kids love** and **parents hate**.

Your tasks:

1. What are the elements of the tensor  $\bar{\mathbf{c}}$ ? Enumerating the  $3^6 = 729$  elements is not an attractive option. Give the schema

$$\bar{c}_{ijklmn} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \dots \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2. Compute the interpretation of **parents hate**, as you did for **kids love** in §2.3.
3. Compute the final result: the interpretation of **kids love but parents hate** with

$$\bar{z}_{kl} = \sum_{i,j,m,n=1}^3 \bar{x}_{ij} \bar{c}_{ijklmn} \bar{y}_{mn} \quad (1 \leq k, l \leq 3)$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$  are the matrices for the interpretation of **kids love** and **parents hate** and  $\bar{\mathbf{z}} = \bar{\mathbf{x}} \odot \bar{\mathbf{y}}$  the matrix for the conjunction **kids love but parents hate**.

## 2.6 Bonus

What are the elements of the  $3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3$  tensor **that**  $\in \mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{N} \otimes \mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{N}$ ? This is the tensor that results from steps (1.) and (2.) of the previous exercise. Complete the index formula below

$$\mathbf{that}_{ijkl} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \dots \\ 0 & \text{if } \dots \end{cases}$$

rather than enumerating the 81 elements explicitly ...

□